

LAW OFFICES OF
WAYNE JOYNER
A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION
3600 WILSHIRE BLVD., SUITE 1903
LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90010

Rec'd 11/2/15

Tel. (213) 487-5700

Fax. (213) 384-2614

October 29, 2015

Richard Boren
[REDACTED]

Scottsdale, AZ [REDACTED]

RE: Demand for Arbitration

Dear Mr. Boren:

In your letter dated August 18, 2015 you demand arbitration to compel me "to publish and deliver to subscribers the remaining parts of Book 1, specifically the material contained in Course V-201." You reference the 2009, May 20 "Open letter to All FEI/AJG Market Participants," and quote the paragraph regarding the trustees intention to fulfill their fiduciary obligations to publish, protect, and perpetuate AJG's work, including the proprietary production and distribution of Book 1. You also reference a statement by Ms. Cerell that the 2009, May 20 letter was the most recent written information. Then you make the false assumption that the 2009 letter is somehow a contract.

A statement of intent is not a contract. The statement made in the 2009 Open Letter of my desire and intent to publish more of Galambos' work was genuine. However, it turned out the expenses caused by the lawsuits mentioned in the letter made publication not financially feasible. The statement I made in the 2009 letter was not a binding contract, and no date for publication and delivery was mentioned. There has been no contractual default by Galambos' trustees associated with that letter.

After sending the 2009 Open Letter I came to realize that it was a mistake to publish the SIAA book in an unprotected format. You and others have proven what a mistake that was. Your 35 page article, For Intellectual Property-The Property Ideas of Andrew J. Galambos, which you published on the internet this year, is an example of the blatant plagiarism which has occurred. You have chosen to violate Galambos' primary property and volitional science principles, and basic copyright concepts.

Your recent violations of Galambos' IP are enough to disqualify you from any rights to Galambos' property. In addition, some FEI records from the 80s were recently located. Your name was on a list of those no longer qualified to attend courses or receive FEI products. In effect, you had been expelled by Galambos in 1981. His judgment was correct then, and is now, as shown by your recent conduct.

PLEASE NOTE: The book contract you signed states the following:

6 TERMINATION OF AGREEMENT:

6.6 Termination On Account of Certain Causes:

TUSPCO reserves the right to terminate this contract and to refuse to make delivery to any Subscriber on the following grounds: (1) behavior of Subscriber in a manner contrary to the principles taught by AJG through FEI and its affiliates, AJG being the developer of the product(s) which are the subject of this agreement; (2) should Subscriber be expelled from FEI classes; (3) if Subscriber has committed any act of coercion or attack on property without making appropriate restitution. Upon termination due to such causes, TUSPCO shall make a full refund to Subscriber of all monies paid. Such refund shall be paid without interest, but any inflation adjustment actually paid by Subscriber shall also be refunded. The refund shall constitute a full release of FEI and TUSPCO of all claims by Subscriber, and Subscriber consents to this in advance as part of this agreement, independent of the concurrence of Subscriber at the time of the refund and independently of whether the Subscriber returns the duplicate original of this agreement for cancellation.

The above section states you would have only qualified for a refund, not the book. Your possible refund is covered in paragraph 6.5 as follows:


(5) Notwithstanding anything to the contrary herein, if the assets of the trust are seized or made unavailable for the purposes of this agreement by any state, or by any coercive force, the obligation of TUSPCO to make or guarantee any refund to Subscriber shall cease, and be null and void.

As you know, the trust assets were stolen in 1984 by Lange. The contract became null and void as a result of his act of coercion.

Paragraph 6.6 lists three causes for termination of the agreement. You qualify for termination on all three counts. Anyone who understands Galambos' concepts and the nature and protection of primary property will not want to have anything to do with you, including me and my fellow FEI associates who are working contractually to implement Galambos' ideas in the marketplace in accordance with his theory.

The matter is closed. Arbitration is out of the question.

Sincerely,


Wayne Joyner

TUSPCO President